| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | 09/202,464  | KINO ET AL.  |  |
|   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |
|   | Phuong Huynh  | 1644   |  |
| All Participants:   | Status of Application: <u>Allowance</u>                           |  |  |
| (1) Phuong Huynh.   | (3)   |  |  |
| (2) Stuart Macphail.  | (4)   |  |  |
| Date of Interview: 6/16/05; 6/22/05   | Time:   |  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:  | nt's representative)  |  |  |
| Part I.   | •   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |   |  |  |
| Claims discussed: 1, 29-31, 39, 45 and 47  Prior art documents discussed:   |   |  |  |
| Part II.  |   | •  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Applicants agreed to the changes set forth in the Examiner's Ame  |   | S DISCUSSED:   |  |
| Part III.   |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writte<br>ecord of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
| •   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   | -   |  |  |
| Myz   |   |  |  |
| (Examiner/SP崖 Signature) (Applicant   | Applicant's Representative Signature                              | gnature – if appropriate)                                  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)